


eConsult/eReferral National Forum 2019 Evaluation Summary

Thank you to everyone for your participation in the 2019 eConsult National Forum!
This is a summary of the evaluation forms that were filled out by participants.

Participants



Overall Comments

Median Score (1-Poor; 4-Excellent)



Facilities

3.94



Program

3.82




Organization

3.91

n=34

Response Rate



Percentage of participants that submitted an overall evaluation form

n=34

Participant Feedback

"Clear breakout sessions, great facilities/food, good balance between presentations and discussion."

"Opportunity to network with other users, result-driven focus of the forum."

"So much to discuss and not enough time!"

"Experience in other jurisdictions was valuable to hear about."

"Consider having medical students attend next year, this year was a bit more philosophical about where to next - not sure what "my" actions are."

"Invite government officials to better understand their perspective."

Opinions of the Sessions

(1-Strongly Disagree; 5-Strongly Agree)


Session 1 (n=25)

eConsult/eReferral Integration Models - Ensuring Equitable Access




Session 2 (n=23)

Educational Standards for Health Care Providers



Session 3 (n=21)

Evaluation using the Quadruple Aim Framework



Q1: The stated learning objectives were met in the session

Q2: This session enhanced my knowledge

Q3: The session satisfied my expectations

Q4: The session conveyed information that applies to my practice/work


Q5: The session allocated at least 25% of the time for interaction

Q6: The session was free from commercial bias

Comparison to Previous Years 2017, 2018, 2019

The importance of the following aspects of the National Forum

(1-Not Important; 5-Extremely Important)



Q1: Information gained through plenary presentations

Q2: Information obtained in interactive workshops

Q3: Raises questions that I will investigate later

Q4: Confirms that I am up-to-date in my clinical or other professional practices

Q5: Networking opportunities

2019: n = 34, response rate 44%

2018: n = 23, response rate 36%

2017: n = 23, response rate 40%

Overall Comments

Median Score (1-Poor; 4-Excellent)

