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Abstract
Background: Access to specialist advice remains a barrier for primary care providers (PCPs) and their patients. Virtual consultations have been used to expedite access. There are few studies demonstrating the utilization and impact of such services. We established a regional e-consultation service that was used across a wide range of specialty services and PCPs. Materials and Methods: We prospectively collected all e-consultations submitted from April 1, 2011 to June 30, 2012. Utilization data collected included number of e-consultations submitted, specialist response, and time required for the specialist to complete the e-consultation. Perceived benefit to the PCPs and their patients and the impact on care delivery were determined from a close-out survey. Results: Fifty-nine PCPs submitted 406 e-consultations to 16 specialty services. The specialist provided an answer without requesting further information in 89% of cases, with >90% of cases taking <15 min for the specialist to complete. Seventy-five percent of cases were answered in <3 days. The service was perceived as highly beneficial to providers and patients in >90% of cases. In 43% of submitted cases a traditional referral was originally contemplated but was now avoided. Conclusions: We successfully implemented an e-consultation service across diverse PCPs and specialty services that was highly valued. Almost half of referrals submitted would have required a face-to-face consultation if the service had not been available. Thus e-consultation has tremendous potential for improving access to specialist advice in a much more timely manner than the traditional referral–consultation process.
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Introduction
In 2007, 3 million Canadians reported seeing a specialist for a new condition in the preceding year.1 The traditional referral–consultation process requires the patient meet with the specialist before recommendations are provided to the primary care provider (PCP). Canada has the second longest wait times for seeing a specialist compared with 10 other countries according to both primary care physicians and their patients. Forty–one percent of patients waited greater than 2 months to see a specialist, and 73% of primary care physicians identified long waits to see a specialist as a frequent barrier to patient care.2–3 The median time between the referral being made and the specialist appointment has increased 156%, from 3.7 weeks in 1993 to 9.5 weeks in 2011.4 There is an urgency to improve access to specialist advice to reduce delays in diagnosis, to institute timely, effective treatments, and to alleviate patient anxiety.5,6

Virtual consultations, where there is no direct contact between the specialist and patient, are used to access to specialist advice in a more expeditious fashion. These may include telephone consultations between providers (which are often frustrating because of the need for both parties to be available at the same time), real-time or asynchronous teledermatology (which requires access to specialized equipment), and e-mail (which does not meet current privacy requirements for sharing personal health information).7 The limitations of these consultation models have led to the development of Web-based electronic consultations that allow information to be exchanged between providers in a secure environment. There have been few studies demonstrating the utilization and impact of such services. Those that are reported are generally limited to one specialty service8–11 or used in healthcare settings where PCPs and specialists share an electronic health record facilitating access to the patient’s history and results.12–14 There is no Canadian experience reported in the literature.

Through the Champlain BASE (Building Access to Specialists through e-consultation) project we established a secure, Web-based e-consultation regional service that was used across a wide range of specialty services and diverse primary care practices. We describe the utilization, the perceived benefits to providers and their patients, and the impact on need for face-to-face consultations of this unique e-consultation service.

Materials and Methods
DEVELOPMENT OF THE E-CONSULTATION SERVICE
The development of the Champlain BASE service was previously described.15 In brief, the system was built on an existing,
secure Web-based “Regional Collaboration Space” that was sponsored and established by the Local Health Integration Network and hosted within the secure infrastructure of one of the community hospitals. The Collaboration Space is built using standard off-the-shelf platforms and components, and it incorporates several levels of physical and logical security. A comprehensive Threat Risk Assessment had been successfully completed for this environment, and a standard Privacy Impact Assessment was performed for the e-consultation application specifically.

Access to the Regional Collaboration Space is controlled through several different measures. In addition to the standard robust firewall infrastructure, an additional gateway enforces granular access controls and policies. The e-consultation service has its own secure site within the collaboration space. Each user must have a unique username and complex password, and each user must be explicitly added to specific permission groups that grant him or her the necessary visibility and capabilities associated with the user’s assigned role. There are four distinct user roles:

1. The PCP, and up to three designated delegates, can have visibility of all cases initiated by that individual PCP.
2. The specialist has visibility of all cases responded to by that individual specialist.
3. The assigner has visibility of PCP name and specialty selected by the PCP for cases pending assignment.
4. The administrator has full access to the system to provide support and troubleshoot if needed.

PCPs submit a patient-specific clinical question to a specialty service, by using a standardized electronic form. Supplementary patient information, such as laboratory results, digital images, and health history, can be attached. The assigner role is responsible for assigning the e-consult to the appropriate specialist. The specialist receives a notification via e-mail (which includes a link to the secure Web site) that there is an e-consult waiting for his or her review and response. For each consultation, depending on the request and information provided, the specialist can (a) provide recommendations and avoid the need for a face-to-face consultation, (b) request additional information before being able to provide advice, or (c) recommend a formal referral, in which case any additional diagnostic tests or courses for treatment could be suggested and initiated before the appointment.

Both the specialist and the PCP receive e-mail notifications at each stage of the process when they know the status of the e-consultation and can log back into the system to see the response and/or provide more information as needed. Iterative communication may occur when either the specialist or PCP asks for clarification or additional information. In addition, a permanent record of the e-consultation is created, which may be downloaded into the patient’s health record.

Upon completion and before the case can be officially closed, the PCP completes a mandatory four-question close-out survey with optional free text fields. Two questions on the value of the e-consultation to the PCP and the perceived value to the patient in the specific case submitted are answered using a 5-point Likert scale. The other two questions assess the impact of the advice received on the PCP’s course of action and on the need for a face-to-face consultation. Respondents are encouraged to include free text comments. Specialists self-report the time required to complete the e-consultation and are paid a prorated hourly rate based on this time. PCPs are not remunerated.

SETTING AND PARTICIPANTS

This study reports on the results of the pilot phase, which took place from April 1, 2011 to June 30, 2012. The study took place in the Champlain region of Eastern Ontario—an area of 17,600 square miles, with a population of 1.2 million. There is one tertiary-care hospital with three campuses that provides many of the specialty services to people living throughout the region.

PCPs were self-identified after learning about the service through presentations or word of mouth. Specialty services were added based on feedback from the primary care participants and interest expressed from specialists. The great majority are fee-for-service, not salaried, clinicians. PCPs selected which referrals were made through the e-consultation service and which were made through traditional routes.

Fig. 1. Distribution of primary care practices that participated in the e-consultation service.
DATA SOURCES

All e-consultations submitted and close-out surveys were prospectively collected and coded. Utilization data included number of e-consultations submitted per PCP, number of e-consultations directed to each specialty service, specialist response, and time required for the specialist to complete the e-consultation. Perceived benefit to the PCPs and their patients and impact on care delivery were determined from the close-out survey. Survey results were compiled for all individual specialty services with >10 completed e-consultations.

Ethics approval was received from the Ottawa Hospital Research Ethics Board.

Results

Fifty-nine PCPs (48 MDs, 11 nurse practitioners) of the 122 registered submitted at least one e-consultation (median of five). The PCPs participating were located in both rural and urban areas throughout the region (Fig. 1). There were, in total, 406 e-consultations directed to the 16 specialty services. The specialty services receiving the most e-consultations were dermatology (20%), endocrinology (13%), neurology (11%), internal medicine (10%), cardiology (10%) and hematology (9%) (Fig. 2).

The specialist provided an answer without requesting further information in 89% of the cases, requested more information in 1.5%, and suggested a face-to-face consultation in 9%. The self-reported time it took for the specialist to complete the e-consultation was <10 min in 57% of cases, 10–15 min in 34%.

Table 1. Examples of Comments Provided by Primary Care Providers on the Benefits of the e-Consultation Service

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPECIALTY SERVICE</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cardiology</td>
<td>&quot;I liked the detail in the response which reviewed some basics that I will be able to apply other patients.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dermatology</td>
<td>&quot;Very quick and detailed response—extremely useful—this patient was on a 12 month wait list for dermatology.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endocrinology</td>
<td>&quot;Such a great way to get an answer to a smallish question that I would worry about but doesn’t need any formal consult. Thanks!!&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Pediatrics</td>
<td>&quot;Excellent advice—able not to send someone on to a consultation.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematology</td>
<td>&quot;I will be able to provide more information to the consultant, for a more effective visit.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Medicine</td>
<td>&quot;This is a very useful ‘corridor consult’ venue for the community based doc.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nephrology</td>
<td>&quot;Although the eConsult wasn’t helpful, it at least let me know that I wasn’t wasting resources or patient time by making the referral.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obstetrics/Gynecology</td>
<td>&quot;Great additional advice/teaching, I believe this eConsult will improve patient outcomes.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rheumatology</td>
<td>&quot;Very timely response was given and it was helpful knowing I was on the right track without having to wait months to get into the specialist.&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
15–20 min in 9%, and over 20 min in 1%. The time from the e-consultation being initiated by the PCP to the initial response by the specialist was a median of 19 h, with 75% of e-consultations answered within 2.7 days. The PCP closed the e-consultation (i.e., received and read response and answered close-out survey) within a median time of 5.3 days, with 75% fully completed within 11.2 days.

The close-out survey results showed that the e-consultation service was highly beneficial to providers and patients. The PCPs felt that the overall value of the e-consultation service in the submitted case was excellent or very good in 95% of cases. The PCPs perceived the value of the e-consultation service to the patient as excellent or very good in 92% of cases. Examples of open-ended comments regarding the benefits of the e-consultation service are given in Table 1.

The impact of the e-consultation service on course of action of the PCP and need for face-to-face consultations are shown by specialty service in Figures 3 and 4. Overall, 56% of cases resulted in the PCP getting good advice for a new or additional course of action, and 41% of cases allowed the PCP to confirm a course of action he or she had in mind. Only 1% of responses were deemed not to be useful. In 43% of the cases submitted a referral was originally contemplated but was now avoided. In 29% of cases a referral was not originally contemplated and was still not needed, but the e-consultation provided useful feedback/information. In 19% of cases a referral was originally contemplated and was still needed, but the PCP perceived that the e-consultation would lead to a more effective specialist visit. In 3% of cases a referral was not originally contemplated, but the e-consultation process resulted in a referral being initiated. For the specialty services hematology, endocrinology, and dermatology, over 50% of the cases would have required a face-to-face consultation if e-consultation was not available.

**Discussion**

We successfully implemented an e-consultation service across diverse PCPs and specialty services that was highly valued by participating PCPs. We demonstrated that almost half of referrals submitted to an e-consultation service would have required a face-to-face consultation if the service had not been available. The majority of the e-consultations took < 10 min for the specialist to answer and were completed in less than 3 days. The potential impact of e-consultations includes not only how many face-to-face consultations can be avoided and reducing wait times, but can also improve communication between primary and specialty care.

There are few reports on the benefits and impact of e-consultation services, none of which occurred within the Canadian healthcare system. Most studies have been in large healthcare organizations, often
with a shared electronic health record or specialty specific.

The group with the most robust evaluation is the Public Safety Net program originating from the San Francisco General Hospital, which was piloted in 2005 and remains active. Primary care physicians and specialists communicate through a referral program embedded in the patients’ electronic medical record. A clinician reviews submitted cases to determine if the referral question can be answered through an e-consultation. They have demonstrated shorter wait times in the gastroenterology clinic, reduction in inappropriate referrals being seen in specialist clinic slots, improved clarity of communication between the PCP and specialist, and perceived improvements in overall care.12,17,18

However, the authors highlight that access to a shared electronic healthcare record and salaried physicians were critical success factors. Neither of these factors was present in our system. The Doc2Doc system, originating from the University of Oklahoma, was successfully implemented in the Oklahoma Department of Corrections using fee-for-service specialists. Although the study is not yet published, the Principal Investigator reports almost 100,000 e-consultations have taken place and that there has been a 50% reduction in specialist visits.16 Given our satisfaction, utilization, and response times are similar to those reported by others, we are optimistic that these outcomes will be realized with continued utilization and expansion to more PCPs.14,17–20 Our study has some limitations, including small sample size, thus limiting our ability to assess impact on wait times. In addition, as we did not collect patient identifiers, we were unable to verify if the patient was seen in a face-to-face consultation following the e-consultation. It is difficult to know if our results are generalizable across primary care and specialist practices. Our PCPs were self-selected, and our specialists within the specialty services were selected by the researchers based on their expressed interest. The percentage of PCPs who submitted questions after being registered on the e-consultation system is similar to the adoption rate at the Mayo Clinic.13 It will be important to study the impact across a larger group of providers and in other jurisdictions.

Conclusions

The Champlain BASE project successfully implemented a highly satisfactory e-consultation service that has the potential to improve access to specialty services through rapid response rates and reduction in the need for face-to-face consultations. E-consultation has tremendous potential for improving access to specialist advice in a much more timely manner than the traditional referral–consultation process.
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